

Brachfeld & Associates, PC
Erica L. Brachfeld, Esq., S.B.N. 180683
20300 S. Vermont Ave., Ste.120
Torrance, CA 90502-1338
Telephone: 888/856-2120
Facsimile: 310/273-9876

Attorney for Defendant Brachfeld & Associates
P.C., Erica L. Brachfeld an individual

FILED

2007 SEP 21 P 4:43

RICHARD W. WIEKING *tsm*
CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NO. DIST. OF CA. S.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

C/PVT
SUSAN RAE OWENS

Plaintiff,

v.

ERICA L. BRACHFELD, A
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION,
D/B/A BRACHFELD & ASSOCIATES,
P.C., D/B/A LAW OFFICES OF
BRACHFELD & ASSOCIATES, P.C., a
California corporation, and ERICA LYNN
BRACHFELD, individually and in her
official capacity,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C07 04400 PVT *5 Bu*

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Defendant(s) BRACHFELD & ASSOCIATES, ERICA L. BRACHFELD
(collectively and henceforth known as Defendants) whom now answer as one the allegations and
unverified complaint of SUSAN RAE OWENS (herein known as plaintiff) as follows:

///

GENERAL DENIAL

1
2 Answering in unison the defendants deny each an every, all and individually, generally
3 and specifically the allegations in the plaintiff's complaint, and each and every part thereof, deny
4 that the plaintiff has been injured or damaged in any sum or manner whatsoever as a result of the
5 acts or omissions of the defendants. Further, the defendants deny the plaintiff is entitled to any
6 further relief whatsoever from the answering defendants.
7

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

8
9
10 1.The Plaintiff is attempting to benefit from its wrongdoing. Plaintiff entered into a
11 written
12 Contract and did not comply with the terms and conditions of said contract and became past
13 due on the account. Defendants were retained to collect on this debt according to the
14 provisions of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices. However, Plaintiff failed to pay-
15 off the debt and now seeks benefit from its wrongdoing. Plaintiff should be denied all or part
16 of any recovery as against this answering Defendant by the Doctrine of Unclean Hands.
17

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18
19 2.This answering Defendant is informed and believes, and on such information and belief
20 alleges, that plaintiff is barred from any recovery against defendant according to the Doctrine of
21 Laches in that plaintiff has waited an unreasonable amount of time before filing its Complaint
22 resulting in substantial prejudice and detriment to Defendant.
23

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24
25 3.These answering defendants state that they at all times herein mentioned acted in good
26 faith.
27

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1 4. These answering defendants allege that the plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine
2 of estoppel, in that the plaintiff by his own conduct and the conduct of his agents or
3 representative, by way of statements and actions throughout out the course of the events and time
4 period alleged in the complaint, did knowingly conceal and misrepresent material fact from the
5 defendants who were actually and permissibly ignorant of the truth, and that plaintiff did intend
6 that the defendants act upon said misrepresentations and that defendant was induced to and did so
7 act.
8

9 FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10 5. These answering defendants allege that the plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim
11 sufficient to constitute a cause of action for which relief can be granted.
12

13 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14 6. These answering defendants allege that they have suffered damage by reason of
15 plaintiff's conduct; that they have the right of offset if any amount of money is owed to plaintiff
16 by way of damage.

17 SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18 7. These answering defendants are informed and believe and thereon allege that the
19 plaintiff proximately caused the damages referred to in the complaint and/or others affiliated in
20 any manner with plaintiff in that at all times relevant herein, plaintiff, failed to exercise for their
21 own protection the proper care and precautions which prudent persons under the same and similar
22 circumstances would have exercised and that if these answering defendants committed any
23 wrongful act at all (which supposition is made for the purpose of their defense without admitting
24 such to be a fact), the aforesaid conduct of plaintiff and/or entities or persons associated in any
25 manner with the plaintiff contributed to the happenings of plaintiff's alleged damages.
26

27 EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
28

1 8. These answering defendants allege that the plaintiff herein and each and every purported
2 Cause of Action in the Complaint are barred as a result of a failure of consideration.

3 NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4 9. These answering defendants are informed and believe and thereon allege that the matters
5 complained of were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by the acts or omissions of a third
6 party or parties. Accordingly, the liability of the defendants and responsible parties, named or
7 unnamed, should be apportioned according to their respective degrees of fault or other legal
8 responsibility, and the liability, if any, should be reduced accordingly.

9 TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10 10. These answering defendants allege that the plaintiff has no Cause of Action to sustain
11 the claims alleged on the Complaint. Any or all damages sought by plaintiff results in the plaintiff
12 receiving more money than they are entitled to, only if they are entitled to any relief.

13 ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14 11. As a separate, affirmative defense, defendants allege that the alleged actions of
15 defendants were proper and did not violate any provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*

16 TWELTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17 12. As a separate, affirmative defense, defendants allege that the alleged actions of
18 defendants were proper and did not violate any provisions of Civil Code § 1788 *et seq.*

19 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20 13. As a separate, affirmative defense, defendant alleges that it acted in good faith in the
21 honest belief that the acts, conduct and communications, if any, of defendants were justified
22 under the circumstances based upon information reasonably available.

23 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24 14. As a separate, affirmative defense, defendants allege that any violation of law that may
25 have occurred, which defendant specifically denies, was not intentional and resulted from a bona
26

1 fide error notwithstanding the maintenance of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such
2 error.

3
4 **I. PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray as follows:

- 5
6 1. That the Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed with prejudice.
7 2. That the Defendants be awarded attorney's fees and cost of the suit; and
8 3. For such other and further relief as the court may see fit to award as just and
9 proper.

10 Dated: September 17, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

11 By: 
12 _____

13 Erica L. Brachfeld, Esq. S.B.N 180683

14 Attorney for Defendants Brachfeld & Associates, et al.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Brachfeld & Associates, PC
2 Erica L. Brachfeld, Esq., S.B.N. 180683
3 20300 S. Vermont Ave., Ste.120
4 Torrance, CA 90502-1338
5 Telephone: 888/856-2120
6 Facsimile: 310/273-9876

7
8 Attorney for Defendants Brachfeld & Associates
9 P.C., Erica L. Brachfeld and individual
10
11

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SUSAN RAE OWENS

Plaintiff,

vs.

ERICA L. BRACHFELD, A
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, D/B/A
BRACHFELD & ASSOCIATES, P.C.,
D/B/A LAW OFFICES OF BRACHFELD &
ASSOCIATES, P.C., a California
corporation, and ERICA LYNN
BRACHFELD, individually and in her
official capacity,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C07 04400 PVT

PROOF OF SERVICE

PROOF OF SERVICE

☒ Mail ☐ Personal Service ☐ Other

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.

2. My business address is (*specify*): 20300 S. Vermont Ave., Ste.120, Torrance, CA
90502-1338

3. I mailed delivered a copy of the ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

a. ☒ **Mail.** I am employed in the county where the mailing occurred.

(1) I enclosed a copy in an envelope AND


b. ☒ placed the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at
the place shown in items below following our ordinary business
practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for
collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same
day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is
deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States
Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

(2) The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:

(a) Name of person served: Fred W. Schwinn, Esq
Consumer Law Center, Inc.
(b) Address on envelope: 12 South First Street, Suite 416
San Jose, CA 95113
(c) Date of mailing: September 18, 2007
(d) Place of mailing (city and state): Torrance, CA 90502-1338

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
true and correct.

Date: September 18, 2007



Alexandra L. Hierro